Research Methods in Political Science

Fall 2018, 26:790:491:01; Tuesday, Thursday, 2:30pm-3:50pm
Prof. Alexander J. Motyl, 728 Hill Hall, ajmotyl@rutgers.edu

Learning Objectives

- DEVELOP ARGUMENTS IN CLEAR AND COHERENT TEXTS AND ORAL COMMUNICATIONS
- READ A VARIETY OF TEXTS FOR MEANING AND INFORMED ANALYSIS
- EVALUATE INFORMATION CRITICALLY
- PRODUCE IDEAS AND TEXTS创造性
- UNDERSTAND AND USE METHODS AND FORMS OF INQUIRY SPECIFIC TO THE BROAD DISCIPLINARY CATEGORY (OR CATEGORIES) WITHIN WHICH THE COURSE FALLS
- UNDERSTAND PAST AND PRESENT INTERRELATIONSHIPS AMONG DIVERSE POLITICAL, SOCIAL, CULTURAL, RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND/OR GENDER GROUPS
- UNDERSTAND AND ADDRESS DEFINING SOCIAL, POLITICAL, CULTURAL, AND/OR INTELLECTUAL QUESTIONS IN CONTEMPORARY AND/OR HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

Course Goals

The class will explore what political science as a discipline is and is not, how truth claims, concepts, and theories are constructed, and how political science research is conducted. This is an intensely hands-on course requiring regular attendance and involving multiple writing and speaking exercises.

Schedule

September 4: Introduction.
September 6: What is history? What is politics? What is political science?
September 11, 13: How does political science differ from other disciplines? What do political science and policymaking have in common?
September 18, 20: Empirical evidence, truth claims, facts, and norms.
September 25, 27: What do theories do? Causes, effects; the structure of theories; theories of everything, nothing, and something; the ladder of abstraction; Ceteris paribus; Norms and values. 1st paper due Sept. 25.
October 2, 4: What are concepts? Where do they come from? Whose concepts are best? Culture, values, norms, clarity, and parsimony. 2nd paper due Oct. 2.
October 9, 11: In-class writing exercises.
November 6, 8: What is explanation? How to explain using necessary, sufficient, facilitating conditions. 5th paper due Nov. 6.
November 13, 15: Theoretical paradigms: structure (realism, Marxism, institutionalism) vs agency (rational choice, constructivism). 6th paper due Nov. 13.
Nov. 20, 27, 29: Research approaches: case study, comparison, large N. 7th paper due Nov. 27.
December 4, 6: Policymaking exercises.
December 11: Summation.

Requirements and Grades

Each student will formulate a simple abstract causal statement and devote all the exercises to its exploration.
Students are expected to write seven papers (six 10-line papers and one 2-page paper) and participate in several writing exercises. Final grades will be based on the one 2-page paper (50%) and the six 10-line papers (50%). Absence from a writing exercise will result in a 5-point penalty.

Each 10-line paper must be exactly 10 lines long (NOT 10 sentences!), with standard margins and 12-point font. The 2-page paper must be exactly 2 pages long, single spaced, with standard margins and 12-point font: no footnotes, no long citations, no bibliography. Papers that deviate from these guidelines will be penalized by 5 points.

Papers must be handed in at the beginning of class. No late papers accepted. No electronic papers accepted (except in emergencies).

Attendance is mandatory. Students will not be able to complete the assignments without attending class.

**Assignment Schedule**

Each student will formulate a simple abstract causal statement and devote all the exercises to its exploration. Follow the below instructions exactly: do not write more or less than what is required.

- 1st paper due September 25: 10 lines. Topic: Formulate a simple abstract causal statement and explain why it’s normatively important and worth pursuing.
- 2nd paper due October 2: 10 lines. Topic: Make an empirical truth claim and provide convincing evidence of its empirical validity.
- 3rd paper due October 23: 10 lines. Topic: Define the cause and effect in your simple abstract causal statement and situate both definitions in the appropriate semantic fields.
- 4th paper due October 30: 10 lines. Topic: Describe the empirical phenomenon discussed in your empirical truth claim.
- 5th paper due November 6: 10 lines. Topic: Interpret an instance of the empirical phenomenon discussed in your empirical truth claim.
- 6th paper due November 13: 10 lines. Topic: Explain the effect in your simple abstract causal statement using necessary, sufficient, and facilitating conditions.
- 7th paper due November 27: 2 pages. On p. 1, demonstrate that your simple abstract causal statement is valid; on p. 2, argue that your simple abstract causal statement is invalid.

**Recommended Readings**

- Arthur Stinchcombe, *Constructing Social Theories*, University of Chicago Press.